



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,995	10/16/2003	Navin Geria	6579-408-1	7466
7590 01/24/2006			EXAMINER	
Richard R Michaud			GOLLAMUDI, SHARMILA S	
The Michaud-Duffy Group 306 Industrial Park Road Suite 206 Middletown, CT 06457			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/686,995	GERIA, NAVIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sharmila S. Gollamudi	1616				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 October 2003.						
·=	, -					
,—	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-5 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) filed on 11/3/04 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al (5,523,081) in view of Buerger et al (5985299).

Edwards teaches a cosmetic composition for shaving of the skin comprising from (a) 40-90% by weight of water; (b) from 4-25% by weight of water-soluble soap; (c) from 0.5-12% by weight of an inert volatile liquid agent, (d) optionally from 0.01-5% by weight of water-soluble gelling agent, and (e) 0.01-15% by weight of a polyorganosiloxane micro-emulsion, the micro-emulsion having an average particle size of less than 0.14 microns. See column 2, lines 1-10. Preferred soaps include the water-soluble stearate and palmitates soaps, such as potassium, ammonium, sodium, and the soluble amine soaps of commercial stearate acid and palmitic acid. See column 2, lines 40-55.

Edwards teaches the inert volatile liquid agent used in the aerosol form of the composition should be suitable to function as an aerosol propellant gas and can be selected from

a wide variety of the propellants known in the aerosol industry. Suitable inert inorganic gases such carbon dioxide, nitrogen, argon and air. Suitable examples of liquid or liquefied propellant agents include propane, n-butane and iso-butane, or halogenated with fluorine or chlorine, such as monochlorotrifluoromethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, trichloromonofluoromethane, and similar chlorofluorohydrocarbons preferably with from 1 to 3 carbon atoms. See column 3, lines 25-50. Suitable such post-foaming agents for use in the gel compositions are liquids or liquefiable and include saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons having from 4-6 carbon atoms, such as butanes, pentanes and hexanes; partially or wholly halogenated hydrocarbons, such as trichlorotrifluoro ethane. See column 4, lines 5-40 and note examples.

The gelling agents used for post-foaming gel type are water-soluble derivatives of naturally occurring substances such as cellulose, sucrose, and glucose. Preferred gelling agents include the co-polymers of acrylic acid and a polyally sucrose, and reaction products of cellulose or glucose with acids or alkaline oxides. See column 3, lines 5-25.

Lastly, Edwards teaches the products may additionally comprise other optional ingredients, such as humectant, skin fresheners, lather stabilizers, coloring materials, dyes, perfumes, **preservatives**, **bactericides**, **bacteriostats**, and other components routinely used in such compositions. See column 6, lines 25-32.

Edwards does not specify the use of instant dichlorobenzyl alcohol.

Buerger teaches a pore cleaning formulation. Buerger teaches the formulation includes one or more preservatives to stabilize the composition and/or prevent the growth of bacteria, and/or molds. The reference teaches a variety of suitable materials known in the art of cosmetic formulation may be used in this context, for example, methyl paraben, benzalkonium chloride,

Application/Control Number: 10/686,995 Page 4

Art Unit: 1616

benzlylparaben, **dichlorobenzyl alcohol**, DMDM hydantoin, imidazolidinyl urea, isopropylparaben, quatemium-15, sodium benzoate, etc. The preservative preferably comprises from 0-2% wt of the overall composition on a dry basis, more preferably 0-1% wt, and most preferably around 0.5% wt. See column 6, lines 29-41.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Edwards et al and Buerger et al and utilize dichlorobenzyl alcohol as the preservative in Edwards's composition. One would have been motivated to do so since Buerger teaches conventional preservatives known and used in the cosmetic art, such as dichlorobenzyl alcohol, to prevent the growth of bacteria and molds in the composition.

Therefore, a skilled artisan would have been motivated to utilize a conventional preservative such as instantly claimed dichlorobenzyl alcohol, in the composition to extend its shelf life.

Further, a skilled artisan would have expected similar results since Edwards teaches utilizing routine adjuvants known in the cosmetic art such as preservatives, stabilizers, etc.

Claims 1-5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards et al (5,523,081) in view of Moran et al (5,523,017).

Edwards teaches a cosmetic composition for shaving of the skin comprising from (a) 40-90% by weight of water; (b) from 4-25% by weight of water-soluble soap; (c) from 0.5-12% by weight of an inert volatile liquid agent, (d) optionally from 0.01-5% by weight of water-soluble gelling agent, and (e) 0.01-15% by weight of a polyorganosiloxane micro-emulsion, the micro-emulsion having an average particle size of less than 0.14 microns. See column 2, lines 1-10. Preferred soaps include the water-soluble stearate and palmitates soaps, such as potassium,

ammonium, sodium, and the soluble amine soaps of commercial stearate acid and palmitic acid. See column 2, lines 40-55.

Page 5

Edwards teaches the inert volatile liquid agent used in the aerosol form of the composition should be suitable to function as an aerosol propellant gas and can be selected from a wide variety of the propellants known in the aerosol industry. Suitable inert inorganic gases such carbon dioxide, nitrogen, argon and air. Suitable examples of liquid or liquefied propellant agents include propane, n-butane and iso-butane, or halogenated with fluorine or chlorine, such as monochlorotrifluoromethane, dichlorodifluoromethane, trichloromonofluoromethane, and similar chlorofluorohydrocarbons preferably with from 1 to 3 carbon atoms. See column 3, lines 25-50. Suitable such post-foaming agents for use in the gel compositions are liquids or liquefiable and include saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons having from 4-6 carbon atoms, such as butanes, pentanes and hexanes; partially or wholly halogenated hydrocarbons, such as trichlorotrifluoro ethane. See column 4, lines 5-40.

The gelling agents used for post-foaming gel type are water-soluble derivatives of naturally occurring substances such as cellulose, sucrose, and glucose. Preferred gelling agents include the co-polymers of acrylic acid and a polyally sucrose, and reaction products of cellulose or glucose with acids or alkaline oxides. See column 3, lines 5-25.

Lastly, Edwards teaches the products may additionally comprise other optional ingredients, such as humectant, skin fresheners, lather stabilizers, coloring materials, dyes, perfumes, preservatives, bactericides, bacteriostats, and other components routinely used in such compositions. See column 6, lines 25-32.

Edwards does not specify the use of instant dichlorobenzyl alcohol.

Moran et al teach solid cleansing bars including shaving bars. See examples 5-6. The composition comprises detergents such as lauryl sulfate. See column 2, lines 10-11. Moran teaches the use of adding to the composition colors, fragrances, medicaments, astringents, deodorants, antidandruff substances, and antibacterials agents in the amount of 0.5-5% and specifically dichlorobenzyl alcohol in the amount of 0.2-0.5%. See column 6, line 55 to column 7, line 3.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Edwards et al and Moran et al and utilize dichlorobenzyl alcohol as the bactericide in Edwards's composition. One would have been motivated to do so since Moran teaches the use of antibacterials agents such as instant dichlorobenzyl alcohol, in cleansing composition such as shaving preparations. Therefore, a skilled artisan would have been motivated to utilize a instantly claimed dichlorobenzyl alcohol in the composition for its antibacterial properties. Further, a skilled artisan would have expected similar results since Edwards teaches additionally utilizing of routine adjuvants known in the cosmetic art such as bactericides.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/06153 to Moldovanyi in view of Schraufstatter (2,945,782).

Moldovanyi teaches surface-active formulations for the disinfection and cleansing of skin and hands. The formulation comprises (a) 0.01-5% of a microbiocidal active agent of Formulas 1-7; (b) 0.1-25% of one or more hydrotrophic agent; (c) 0-10% surface active agents or a soap; (d) 0-8% of a fatty acid salt; (e) 0-50% dihydric alcohol; (f) 0-70% of a monohydric alcohol; and water to balance. See abstract. The illustrative microbiocidal active agents of formula 3 are

Art Unit: 1616

benzyl alcohol; 2,4- or 3,5-, or 2,6-dichlorobenzyl alcohol. See page 3. The surface-active agent may be a anionic such as sulfates, alkylamide sulfates, alkylamide ether sulfates, alkylaryl polyether sulfunates, alkylaryl sulfonates, alkane sulfonates, etc. See page 7-8. Alkali metal salts of lauryl ether sulfate are preferred. See page 9. The monohydric alcohol taught is ethanol, propanol, and isopropanol (inert volatile liquid). See page 10.

Specifically example 11 teaches 1 part 2,4-dichlorobenzyl alcohol; 4 parts sodium lauryl sulfate (water-soluble soap); 5 parts sodium cumene sulfonate; 1 part propylene glycol; 8 parts citric acid monohydrate; and water to balance (81%). Example 7 uses 12% ethanol with 1% of the microbicidal agent of formula 6.

Although Moldovany teaches the optional use of a monohydric alcohol in the amount of 0-50% which encompasses ethanol and isopropanol, the reference lacks the specific teaching of the inert volatile liquid (ethanol or isopropanol) with the instantly claimed microbiocidal agent (dichlorobenzyl alcohol).

Schraufstatter teaches disinfectant composition comprising dichlorobenzyl alcohol. Schraufstatter teaches the use of alkylsulfates or sulfonates, aralkylsulfonates, alkylarylsulfonate and monovalent or polyvalent alcohols such as ethanol, isopropanol, glycols, and polyglycol are dissolving agents for halogen containing arylalkanols. See column 1, lines 38-45.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Moldovanyi's and Schraufstatter and utilize ethanol or isopropanol, both of which are inert volatile liquids, as the solvent in example 11. One would have been motivated to do so since Schraufstatter teaches that ethanol, isopropanol, or glycols may be used as the solvent for dissolving halogen-containing arylalkanols (dichlorobenzyl

alcohol). Thus, one would have been motivated to substitute the propylene glycol with as ethanol/isopropanol as the solvent of choice since Schraufstatter teaches that all three are used as solvents for dichlorobenzyl alcohol, i.e. all are functional equivalents in acting as dissolving agents for dichlorobenzyl alcohol. Therefore, a skilled artisan would have expected similar results by utilizing ethanol/isopropanol since the prior art establishes that propylene glycol the solvent used in Moldovanyi and ethanol/isopropanol are functional equivalents.

Note that "shaving" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

All the claims are rejected at this time.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharmila S. Gollamudi whose telephone number is 571-272-0614. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30), alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on 571-272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,995 Page 9

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sharmila S. Gollamudi Examiner Art Unit 1616

Le JAC Lil